-7-

9 27. a d

(Amended) The system of Claim 26, further comprising a plurality of mobile receivers sensitive to said radio frequency beacon signals for providing finer positional accuracy in determining the location of the source of said radio frequency beacon signals.

REMARKS

- 1. The application was filed with informal drawings. Formal drawings will be submitted when the application is allowed.
- 2. The drawings were objected to because reference numeral 24 in Fig. 2 had no correspondence in the specification. Reference numeral 24 has been inserted in the specification on Page 13.
- 3. The drawings were objected to under 37 C.F.R. §1,83(a) because the "inductive pickup" means of Claims 18 and 20 were not shown. They are shown in the accompanying sketch for the Examiner's approval.
- 4. The disclosure was objected to because of certain informalities on Pages 10, 11 and 14. These informalities have been corrected by amendment. Reference numeral "35" is in error; it should read "26" and corrections will be made in the formal drawings.
- 5. Claims 8-10 and 14 were objected to under 37 C.F.R. §1.75(b) as being "unduly multiplied". Applicant agrees and, accordingly, Claims 10 and 14 have been cancelled.

24

6. The specification was objected to under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an enabling disclosure. This has been corrected by appropriate amendment in Claims 2, 3, 4 and 5 (although Claim 3 has now been combined with Claim 1). Claim 3 has been cancelled and Claim 4 amended.

The specification was additionally objected to because Applicants failed to teach frequency ranges (or a range which could be used in Applicants' system). Claims 23 and 24, claiming these ranges, have been cancelled.

- 7. Claims 2-17 and 23-24, rejected under 35 U.S. §112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification contained in paragraph 6 of the Office Action. All of the objectionable §112 subject matter, having been corrected, this objection has been obviated.
- 8. Claims 1-21 and 25-27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention.

In accordance with this rejection, Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 20, 25, 26 and 27 have been either amended in accordance with the Office Action or cancelled for other reasons discussed herein.

9-10. Claims 1 and 4 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) as being anticipated by deCoriolis *et al.*. In paragraph 16, Claim 3 was indicated as allowable if rewritten to overcome the 112 rejection and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 3 has now been cancelled and the subject matter contained therein has now been inserted

corrected, thus rendering Claim 1 allowable.

- 11. Claims 1, 4, 17 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Morgan *et al.*. The remarks relative to Claim 1 in paragraph 10 above are equally applicable to the rejection predicated upon Morgan. Accordingly, Claims 1, 4 and 17 are allowable.
- 12. Claim 22 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Man (cited by Applicants). Claim 22, as well as Claims 23 and 24 have been cancelled.
- 15. Claim 25 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Man in view of Allen. Claim 25 has been cancelled.
- 16. Claims 2-3, 5-16 and 18-21 were indicated as allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112 and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. It is respectfully submitted that these claims as amended overcome the 112 rejection and, hence, are allowable.
- 17. Claims 26-27 were indicated as allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112. These claims have been amended to overcome the 112 rejection. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that they are now allowable.

A new sketch of prepared drawing changes is submitted herewith for the approval of the Examiner. It is respectfully submitted that this application, as now amended, is in condition for allowance and early and favorable notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

In the event that this response does not result in a Notice of Allowability, Applicants undersigned Attorney would appreciate a call from the Patent Office.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard A. Wise

Registration No. 18,041 Attorney for Applicant

(617) 861-6240

Lexington, Massachusetts 02173

Dated:

June 13 1886

utuch. to Power Control Beacon Transmitter 4 Voltage Monitor 7 5 10-24-96 8 Charging Regulator Vibration Figure 2 5 တ္ၿင Δ, 7 36 -||1 Timing Circuit ф ф 5 1|1|+ S_5 . Proposes Addation 111 32 Signal Decoder 38 ₽ Conversion Circuitry S₄ S₃ Micro-Power Radio Receiver Demodulator Ŕ -||י 0000 5 111 28 30